UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,408	12/15/2000	Miriam Fields-Babineau	4523-001	7703
TODD E. MAR	7590 04/01/200 LETTE, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
10044 Edgewater Terrace Suite 201 Fort Washington,, MD 20744			SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/736,408	FIELDS-BABINEAU, MIRIAM	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kimberly S. Smith	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after six or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The solution of the condition of	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the left in the second sheet is a constant.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contacted to be the drawing(s) is contacted to be the drawing(s).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examinor. Note the attached only	50 / (chor) or form 1 1 0 102.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/08/05.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/736,408 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/08/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 25-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims have been amended to include a limitation "wherein the second type of material is more elastic than the nylon strap material." Support for this was stated to be on page 3 of the initially filed specification. However, the specification is silent to this limitation. That being, the specification states that the material is more elastic than the nylon strapping used in *other* head halters. As such, the specification does not provide a basis for the comparison of elasticity between the materials used in the Applicant's invention as claimed, only that the

Application/Control Number: 09/736,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

material used in the Applicant's invention is more elastic than that used in other head halters. Page 1 of the specification, last paragraph sates that "All five head halters use nylon strapping with abrasive edges" (directed to the prior art inventions) and then states at page 3, last paragraph that "All the parts are flat with rounded edges" (directed to applicant's invention). As such, the flat nylon strap used in the present invention is differentiated by the Applicant's specification as being different from that used in prior art applications and a such, the elasticity of the second type of material being more elastic than the *other* head halters does not provide the support for relative comparison between the materials used in the Applicant's claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant's arguments with respect to the elasticity of the prior art inventions is deemed moot in view of the above detailed rejection.
- 5. The Information Disclosure Statement filed 07/08/05 has been considered and is submitted herewith.
- 6. With respect to the book published by the Applicant, the book was considered by the Examiner on February 2, 2002 as indicated by the Examiner's initials on the PTO-1449. No further comment is deemed necessary.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6909. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Mansen can be reached on 571-272-6608. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kimberly S Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644 kss